



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/642,398	08/14/2003	Frederick Hayes-Roth	FHR-103	9440		
30869	7590	01/06/2009	EXAMINER			
LUMEN PATENT FIRM			SAX, STEVEN PAUL			
2345 YALE STREET			ART UNIT			
SECOND FLOOR			PAPER NUMBER			
PALO ALTO, CA 94306			2174			
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE				
01/06/2009		PAPER				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/642,398	HAYES-ROTH, FREDERICK	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven P. Sax	2174	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2008.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-8, 10-31 and 50-58 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-8, 10-31, 50-58 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been examined.
2. The amendment filed 9/18/08 has been entered.
3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.
4. Claims 1-8, 10-11, 13-31, and 50-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glitho et al (US Pub 2004/0078256) and Hesse (US Pub 2003/0174826).
5. Regarding claim 1, Glitho et al show a method of hooking up at least two users using a hook up assistant (HUA) in a network environment having a plurality of users each having a network-enabled calendar (abstract, para 10-12), the method comprising: determining an appropriate time when at least two people will be available to participate in an event or activity (para 11, 12, 18, 21); scheduling said event or activity at said appropriate time on respective calendars of said at least two people (para 21, 23).

Glitho et al do not go into the details of initiating said event or activity substantially at said appropriate time nor automatically activating said HUA in response to an incomplete telephone call made by a first of said at least two people to a second of said at least two people, but do discuss facilitating a videoconferencing event (for example, para 26), and acting to maintain an event that has been scheduled. Furthermore, Hesse shows initiating an event at the appropriate time, specifically a videoconferencing event, for convenient facilitation of a videoconferencing event (para 10, 11, 74, 80). Hesse also shows automatically activating said HUA in response to an incomplete telephone call made by a first of said at least two people to a second of said at least two people (see Hesse para 42, 58, 76, 77). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in Glitho et al, because it would allow convenient facilitation of a videoconferencing event, and an efficient way to maintain an event that has been scheduled.

6. Regarding claim 2, said HUA comprises preferences and constraints of one of said at least two people; and wherein said appropriate time is determined consistent with said preferences and constraints (Glitho et al para 23, 29).

7. Regarding claim 3, said HUA comprises privileges to access and alter said respective calendars of said at least two people, and means for accessing and altering said respective calendars of said at least two people according to said privileges (Glitho et al para 23, 24, 29).

8. Regarding claim 4, note the means for activating said HUA in response to a request from one of said at least two people (Glitho et al para 22).

9. Regarding claim 5, said means for activating further comprises means for interacting with said one of said at least two people; and means for proposing said event or activity based on inputs obtained during said interaction (Glitho et al para 22, 23).

10. Regarding claim 6, said means for activating further comprises means for interacting with said one of said at least two people to solicit yes or no answers; and means for proposing said event or activity based on said answers (Glitho et al para 22, 23).

11. Regarding claim 7, note the means for activating said HUA in response to a request referring to a previous event or activity with desired changes thereto (Glitho et al para 24).

12. Regarding claim 8, said request is in a form of spoken or manually entered natural language, in a form of a computer language, or in a form of an electronic signal (the electronic signal, see Glitho et al para 22, 24. This is written in alternative form, so either choice fulfils the claim.)

13. Regarding claim 10, the incomplete telephone call is indicated by a busy signal, an answering machine, a voicemail service, a computerized agent, a human assistant, a receptionist, a secretary, an inanimate entity, or an animate entity other than said second of said at least two people (specifically in Hesse, this may be the guard, or the computerized agent see para 42, 58, 77, 78. The obviousness to have this in Glitho et al is the same as that mentioned in paragraph 5 of this Office Action).

14. Regarding claim 11, said HUA comprises means for exchanging data related to said respective calendars essentially regardless of physical and virtual boundaries that include distance, language, platforms, operating systems, and network protocols (Glitho et al para 19, 20).

15. Regarding claim 13, said HUA comprises means for assuring said at least two people be able to participate in said event or activity at said appropriate time (see in particular Hesse para 44. The obviousness to have this in Glitho et al is the same as that mentioned in paragraph 5 of this Office Action).

16. Regarding claim 14, said means for assuring comprises means for determining whether said at least two people will have, at said appropriate time, access to suitable communication means that will be available for use in said event or activity; and means

for reminding said at least two people about said event or activity (again Hesse para 44. The obviousness to have this in Glitho et al is the same as that mentioned in paragraph 5 of this Office Action).

17. Regarding claim 15, said suitable communication means include wired and wireless communication devices, resources, web-based services, cellular phones, telephones (Glitho et al para 19), video phones, Internet- enabled phones, Internet- enabled input devices, instant messaging, virtual meeting places, online chat rooms (see Hesse para 24, 25, 28, 29. The obviousness to have this in Glitho et al is the same as that mentioned in paragraph 5 of this Office Action), personal digital assistant (PDA), and World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) compliant multimodal interaction means (Glitho et al para 19, 24). Neither Glitho et al nor Hesse specifically mention the online games, Multi-User Dungeons (MUDs) or MUD Object Oriented sites (MOOs), but Examiner takes Official Notice that these are common in the art to facilitate user interaction, such as their chat room ability, as well for user interaction in a recreational fashion. Furthermore, Hesse et al show a variety of media options to facilitate user interaction, some even for recreational (para 49, 78). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have these in the system of Glitho et al, especially as modified by Hesse, because it would facilitate user interaction, even in a recreational way.

18. Regarding claim 16, said event or activity is a phone conversation, a business

Art Unit: 2174

meeting, a family gathering, or a social engagement (Glitho et al para 22 for example).

19. Regarding claim 17, said HUA comprises means for securing reservations necessary to realize said event or activity for said at least two people Glitho et al para 23).

29. Regarding claim 18, event or activity requires admission tickets, tokens, or permits; and wherein said HUA comprises means for acquiring said admission tickets, tokens, or permits for said at least two people to attend said event or activity (Hesse para 44. The obviousness to have this in Glitho et al is the same as that mentioned in paragraph 5 of this Office Action.) Neither Glitho et al nor Hesse specifically mention that the tickets must be purchased per se, but Examiner takes Official Notice that it is common in the art to have means for purchasing tickets at the same station for acquiring them. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in the system of Glitho et al, especially as modified by Hesse, because it would allow convenient facilitation of user interaction.

21. Regarding claim 19, said event or activity requires invitations; and wherein said HUA comprises means for obtaining invitations for said at least two people to attend said event or activity (Glitho et al para 23, 24).

22. Regarding claim 20, said appropriate time or said event or activity is found

infeasible or otherwise undesirable, said HUA comprises means for proposing at least one alternative (Glitho et al para 18, 23, 24, 28).

23. Regarding claim 21, said HUA is capable of proposing changes in prior commitments on said respective calendars when a new request can only be desirably satisfied by impinging on said prior commitments (Glitho et al para 24, 28).

24. Regarding claim 22, said HUA is capable of altering said prior commitments in accordance with proper privileges predetermined or obtained in real time (Glitho et al para 24, 28).

25. Regarding claim 23, said HUA is enabled to determine independently how said prior commitments can be altered; and said HUA automatically alters said prior commitments on said respective calendars to satisfy said new request and promptly notifies those affected by said alteration (Glitho et al para 24, 28).

26. Regarding claim 24, alteration is selected from the group consisting of rescheduling said prior commitments, replacing said prior commitments with alternatives, and a combination thereof (Glitho et al para 24, 28).

27. Regarding claim 25, note means for activating said HUA, or invoking one or more functions thereof, in response to a triggering mechanism selected from the group

Art Unit: 2174

consisting of a tone, a button, a key, a sound, a spoken word, a sequence of tones, a sequence of buttons, a sequence of keys, a sequence of sounds, a sequence of spoken words, and a combination thereof (Glitho et al, a sequence of keys, para 23).

28. Regarding claim 26, said HUA automatically contacts or automatically schedules to contact a person, an agent of said person, or a HUA of said person to schedule a hook-up event to bring its user and said person together (Hesse para 10, 11, 74, 80. The obviousness to have this in Glitho et al is the same as that mentioned in paragraph 5 of this Office Action).

20. Regarding claim 27, said contact is realized by phone, text message, voice message, or email (Hesse para 24. The obviousness to have this in Glitho et al is the same as that mentioned in paragraph 5 of this Office Action).

30. Regarding claim 28, said schedules to contact is effected periodically until a certain criterion is satisfied (Hesse para 74, 80. The obviousness to have this in Glitho et al is the same as that mentioned in paragraph 5 of this Office Action).

31. Regarding claim 29, note a computer product for implementing said HUA, the computer product comprising a computer readable medium carrying computer-executable instructions implementing a plurality of functions of said HUA (Glitho et al para 18).

32. Regarding claim 30, said computer product is embodied in a phone, a stand-alone network-enabled device, a client, a server, or a combination thereof (Glitho et al para 18).

33. Regarding claim 31, said computer product is implemented as part of an instant messaging system (see Hesse para 24, 25, 28, 29. The obviousness to have this in Glitho et al is the same as that mentioned in paragraph 5 of this Office Action).

34. Regarding claim 50, note tentatively committing said at least two people to said event or activity by indicating said event or activity on said calendar of one or more of said at least two people participating in said event or activity (Glitho et al para 23, 24).

35. Regarding claim 51, Glitho et al show method of heuristically hooking up at least two users using a computer- implemented hook-up assistant (HUA), wherein said users are connected in a network environment having a plurality of users, said method comprising: providing a calendar for one or more of said users (para 10-12); providing a scheduling function to allow a first of said users to tentatively schedule an appropriate time for an event or activity with a second of said users, wherein said tentatively scheduled event or activity is on said calendar of said first user (18, 21, 23), and wherein said scheduling is based on one or more heuristics (para 21, 23). Glitho et al do not go into the details of initiating said event or activity substantially at said

appropriate time but do discuss facilitating a videoconferencing event (for example, para 26). Furthermore, Hesse shows initiating an event at the appropriate time, specifically a videoconferencing event, for convenient facilitation of a videoconferencing event (para 10, 11, 74, 80). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in Glitho et al, because it would allow convenient facilitation of a videoconferencing event.

36. Regarding claim 52, Glitho et al do not go into the details of automatically activating said HUA in response to an incomplete telephone call made by a first of said at least two people to a second of said at least two people, but do acting to maintain an event that has been scheduled. Furthermore, Hesse shows automatically activating said HUA in response to an incomplete telephone call made by a first of said at least two people to a second of said at least two people (see Hesse para 42, 58, 76, 77). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in Glitho et al, because it would allow an efficient way to maintain an event that has been scheduled.

37. Regarding claim 53, said heuristics comprise a time delay or a time interval (Glitho et al para 23, 24).

38. Regarding claim 54, allowing said second user to confirm availability at said tentatively scheduled time or cancel said scheduled event or activity (Glitho et al para 23, 24, 28)

39. Regarding claim 55, said second user can reschedule said event or activity, and wherein said rescheduling is based on one or more heuristics (Glitho et al para 18, 23, 24, 28).

40. Regarding claim 56, said tentatively scheduled event or activity is communicated to said second user (Glitho et al para 23, 24, 28).

41. Regarding claim 57, said tentatively scheduled event or activity is communicated to said second user with a phone message, a text message, a voice message, or an email (Hesse para 24. The obviousness to have this in Glitho et al is the same as that mentioned in paragraph 36 of this Office Action).

42. Regarding claim 58, said tentatively scheduled event or activity is on said calendar of said second user (Glitho et al para 21, 23, 24).

43. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glitho et al (US Pub 2004/0078256) and Hesse (US Pub 2003/0174826) and Creamer et al (US Pat 6735294).

44. Regarding claim 12, in addition to that mentioned for claim 11, neither Glitho et al nor Hesse go into all the details that the means for exchanging data is capable of encoding a cross-domain structured syntax language including hypertext markup language (HTML), eXtensible HTML (XHTML), eXtensible markup language (XML), XML document type definition (DTD), and XML schema, but Glitho et al mention the Java applications (para 19) and both Glitho et al and Hesse mention a variety of formats for ease of calendar and scheduling facilitation. Furthermore, Creamer et al do show these for ease of calendar and scheduling facilitation. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in the system of Glitho et al, especially as modified by Hesse, because it would allow ease of calendar and scheduling facilitation.

45. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's remarks focus on the insertion into claim 1 of the feature from dependent claim 9 regarding the incomplete call. But note that activating in response to an incomplete call is a broad concept, as reflected in the specification and confirmed by the many interpretations given in claim 10 as to what may even indicate an incomplete call. Thus the inanimate entity, or a processor attempting to maintain a calendar event

or videoconferencing session, may be the indicator, and an event attempted to be scheduled (but perhaps cancelled or rescheduled) may be the incomplete call.

46. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

47. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven P. Sax whose telephone number is (571) 272-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Steven P Sax/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2174
